Other Identified Risks (Low Impact or Low Likelihood or Already Mitigated or combination thereof)

Ref	Cause	Risks	Effect	Ongoing Mitigation	Future Actions
	Financial Pressures on Local Authorities	Savings required impact on existing services Focus becomes entirely on financial outcomes Lack of funds for development SWP Team capacity reduced Maintenance budgets reduced Waste minimisation budgets reduced	lose Interest/Concern.	performance and infrastructure to ensure no degradation; Improve business planning and	Continued clear dialogue between Board members and Cabinet/Executive Colleagues Conduct full Impact Analysis of all proposals Ensure critical issues are forecast and flagged Seek low cost options for promoting key messages
	Financial Pressure on Contractors	Contractor change of strategy Contractor management structure reduced Reduced front line resources	Pressure on SWP staff; Pressure on partnership; Deterioration in service; Necessitates contract review or new procurement; Breakdowns increase; Service disruption	Step in rights in contract already in place; Frequent engagement with Kier management; Monitoring of stability of contractor; Monitoring of contract performance	
	- - -	Contractor default Contractor does not refresh equipment at "end of life" Instability on selling of contract Contractors prioritise other parts of their business.			
	Other Socio-economic impacts	Economic upturn Value of recyclate goes down	Increase in packaging disposed of; Viability of contractor threatened; Less attention paid to recycling/prevention	SWP to conduct waste minimisation and prevention campaigns; Promote benefits of the service and transparency of outcomes	
		People disengage from political processes Austerity makes recycling a lower priority		service and transparency of outcomes	

Financial Pressures		Increased material at kerbside Avoidance of charged for services	Increase materials in bins and associated landfill costs; Loss of income from charged for services (including GW collections)	Extended Recycling centre opening; Inflation only increases where charges apply	Promotion of sustainable, cost effective alternatives to waste disposal
Multi partner o changing politica	al environment	change of political steer and make up	delivering business requirements; Potential failure among partners to understand	priorities; Offer SWP induction for all members	Maintain awareness of pressures on partners
		Misunderstood by external agencies and therefore lose out			Encourage continuity and support scrutiny committees
		Legislative changes			Ensure benefits of efficiencies are shared by all partners
					Use existing structures such as SMG to ensure partners understand and engage with SWP
Changes in wa		Changes implemented inefficiently	effectiveness; Service failures increase; Failure to reach targets	Ensure change approached in a planned manner; Collaborative working that directs resource effectively and shares knowledge; Follow project management structure when implementing change; Understand and mitigate impacts of changes; Ensure collaborative working in place so all options can be assessed and consensus reached	
		SWP fails to act proactively			
		Loss of senior SWP staff			
		Lack of clear decision about future disposal for residual waste			
		External pressures to deliver early results			
•	control	Extreme weather (hot, cold, wet)	collection/disposal; Increased Complaints	Have Business Continuity plan in place; Effective communication links in place - media, website, social media; Review effectiveness of responses to previous incidents	
		Industrial action			